



## Outcomes of Participants in Cornell Cooperative Extension Home Visitation Parent Education Program

By Eliza Cook, Rachel Dunifon, and Kimberly Kopko


Cornell Cooperative Extension (CCE) offers a variety of programs for parents and caregivers. These programs reach a wide range of families and seek to promote positive parenting and, ultimately, healthy family and child development. This research brief presents data collected from participants in the Home Visitation programs from July 2011 to July 2012. Participants included parents and caregivers taking part in programs that comprised at least six hours of content delivery. Data were collected from participants at the first

session (a pre-test) and at the last session (a post-test). Results of the analysis of these data are shown below.

### Demographics of Participants attending Home Visitation Programs



The following summaries use data from 45 participants who completed a pre-test survey given at the first session of their parent education class.

The majority of participants in the Home Visitation programs were from Schuyler County (62%).





Seventy-one percent of the participants in the program were female. Educational attainment among the participants varied widely, with the greatest number of

participants having finished 12<sup>th</sup> grade or completed their GED (51%), followed by those who had completed some, but not all of college (27%).



The majority of the participants in the Home Visitation parent education classes were white

(91 %) and the most common marital status was married or partnered (49%).



## Pre-Post Survey Results

This study used a pre- and post-test evaluation, in which the participants were asked to answer two identical surveys—one given at the first session of the class and another given after the completion of the last parenting class. The survey included ten questions about parenting attitudes, behaviors, and knowledge designed to

capture some of what was taught in the class. The pre- post-study design allows researchers to see if attitudes, behaviors, and knowledge change during the course of the workshop. Using this type of research design does not allow one to determine whether taking part in the parent education class *caused* a change in knowledge, attitude or behaviors;


such changes could occur for other reasons outside of the workshop. However, it is possible that any significant pre-to-post changes in parenting attitudes, behaviors and knowledge that are observed may have resulted from taking part in the program.



The following evaluation is based on information provided by 42 participants, who completed their program and completed both a pre- and a post-test survey. Three of the ten measures tested showed significant improvements from the pre- to the post-test. Specifically, participants of the Home Visitation programs reported increased patience

with their child, decreases in how often they yell at their child, and an increase use of explanations for the rules they make.

A p-value generated from a paired t-test was used as a statistical measure to determine whether a change in a given survey question between the pre- and post-test was significant. A p-value of .10 or less was considered statistically significant, and means that we can be 90% sure that the pre-to-post changes in participant responses are not due to chance.

In comparing participants' pre- and post-test survey results, the following questions showed statistically significant changes.





These results indicate that three out of ten measures of parenting attitudes, behaviors and knowledge improved significantly from the pre- to the

post-test, highlighting areas in which Home Visitation programs may have had a positive impact on their participants.

**Visit the *Parenting in Context* project at:**

<http://www.human.cornell.edu/pam/outreach/parenting/>

*This work was supported by a joint research and extension program funded by Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station (Hatch funds) and Cornell Cooperative Extension (Smith Lever funds) received from Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the U.S. Department of Agriculture*

**Eliza Lathrop Cook is a Research Assistant in the Department of Policy Analysis and Management at Cornell University.**

**Rachel Dunifon is an Associate Professor in the Department of Policy Analysis and Management at Cornell University.**

**Kimberly Kopko is a Senior Extension Associate in the Department of Policy Analysis and Management at Cornell University.**

© 2012 Cornell Cooperative Extension



**Cornell University**  
**College of Human Ecology**

Cornell University offers equal program  
and employment opportunities